

**Subject:** preliminary review of Attorney General performance  
**From:** kat.fay (kat@laurenscountycitizenswatch.com)  
**To:** info@scag.gov  
**Date:** Thursday, January 3, 2013 5:41 PM

Dear Attorney General Wilson,

I am a registered South Carolina voter and one of your Citizen Bosses[1]. I have some concerns about the apparent focus of your Office and what seems to be a lack of priority in certain areas. Using a professional performance appraisal process[2], my preliminary review provides understanding of citizen perception of your success in meeting our needs. Please understand this is preliminary and based only on available information. I am providing it to you so you can disclose information that might change perception. Also, the review is not comprehensive, and I am not including outstanding performance areas at this time. That is included in final reviews. Please be advised a lack of response from you (subordinate response is not responsive) indicates you agree or are not concerned with perceptions of your performance in the review.

Your response can build trust and catalyze a new model of collaboration with citizens as partners and co owners of government.

Or, your response can demonstrate the usual for our state government – a culture of evasive, obstructive defensiveness that disdains government's fundamental duty to disclose activities already embodied in the plain English legislative intent section of SC FOIA section. Much like the recent response of SCPRT did[3].

PRT's example typifies state obstructive operations culture. Because activities are normally concealed, citizen inquiries to disclose required the agency exert extra effort to dig for the non-confidential information. Instead of collaborating to increase disclosure, the agency protected normally closed activities with polite evasions and diversions from PRT's legal expert – in house counsel.

Decreasing government autonomy, by definition, means open, genuine collaboration with citizenry. This collaboration requires strength of character, discipline, heart, and fearlessness of good faith mistakes from public servants. For whatever reason, public servants typically avoid accountability by announcing unspecified intentions as operational objectives. Government goals, if they exist, are set in private as if the servant knows better than citizens what the public needs.

You have a tremendous opportunity to use this review to improve your performance, whether actual or perceived, in meeting one of the highest priorities of SC citizens: reducing public corruption.

Of particular note is your opportunity to demonstrate a new, trustworthy type of ethical leadership in the legal profession that is sorely needed and strikingly absent.

Please see the documents evidencing how a gaggle of lawyers[4] helped some legislators circumvent legislative enactment process and thwart legislative authority in a gross violation of public trust. This conduct is prima facie to South Carolina's government culture that normally conceals and obstructs

public scrutiny, fostered by expert guidance of government lawyers. Your Office's repeated refusal to demonstrate concern to my repeated attempts to report this conduct damages your credibility. I hope you will explain why your Office demonstrated such lack of concern with the emails documenting this attorney's breach of public trust.

The gaggle manipulated money, legal instruments, property transactions, and, in one instance, fraudulently misrepresented legislative facts that didn't exist, to contrive facial legitimacy of these instruments and the legislation, with technical provisions of laws. They did so in repugnance and subordination to the substantive constitutional protections these very same laws embodied. I am mistaken, please explain how your Office knows I am mistaken. How did your Office know, when I reported this to you, the conduct shown in emails and other documents I obtained, wasn't a conspiracy to hide the truth, and a breach of trust - a government lawyer created draft legislation of a new purpose in private unrecorded meetings with a private religiously chartered entity, with invented legislative facts, before the draft was first introduced to the governing body, again in an unrecorded meeting, with the attorney's written admission the legislation was created at the request and approval of the private entity's attorneys.

I must remind you that refuting my perception with narration that "reframes" my perception is pointless and serves only to advance the appearance that lawyers do so to fit business needs above serving truth and fundamental duty.

Also, refusing to disclose former Attorney General McMaster's agreement in the James brown estate, and your decisions to continue involving the Attorney General's office in the matter, along with failure to disclose why this arrangement is necessary for public good, damages the credibility of the office (see review for details).

I hope you will use the review as an opportunity to transform citizen expectations of government culturally driven obstruction. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of these matters. I look forward to your response and I hope my expectations will be shatteringly exceeded.

Sincerely,

Katrina Fay

[1] [http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/how\\_to\\_be\\_boss/what\\_is\\_a\\_citizen\\_boss](http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/how_to_be_boss/what_is_a_citizen_boss)

[2] [http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/how\\_to\\_be\\_boss/do\\_a\\_performance\\_review](http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/how_to_be_boss/do_a_performance_review)

[3] [http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/admin\\_action/state\\_parks\\_and\\_rec\\_depart](http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/admin_action/state_parks_and_rec_depart)

[4] [http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/investigations/county\\_attorney](http://laurenscountycitizenswatch.com/investigations/county_attorney)