

**Subject:** Fw: Pard funds in Laurens County  
**From:** kit fay (kat@laurenscountycitizenswatch.com)  
**To:** bjwilloughby@scprt.com;  
**Cc:** acushman@scprt.com; aduffy@scprt.com;  
**Date:** Thursday, December 27, 2012 10:04 AM

Hello Ms.(thanks for the clarity!) Willoughby,

My apologies for not responding sooner. I neglected to tell you this is my primary email account for business issues, so I didn't read your response until yesterday.

According to your email, PRT doesn't intend to disclose anything in this meeting.

While I would be glad to spend my discretionary time and money going to Columbia for a meeting for which a specified relevant result was intended, your email didn't disclose any. I don't need to go to Columbia to reiterate what PRT has already failed to answer at least eight times (if one includes the phone messages, with details, I left that PRT didn't bother answering.)

Ms. Cushman is not the authority on PRT business processes, nor can she address the Accountability report deficiencies.

She may be the employee to initiate requests for change in the PARD area. However, my attempts to work with her to improve the PARD process were defensively (albeit politely) rebuffed. If there is sincere interest in addressing PRT process defects in the PARD area, I don't need to spend my discretionary resources to work with Ms. Pard in a physical meeting with counsel. A meeting attended by counsel is a waste of time if the intent is to do real work.

Real work is done electronically, by the people tasked with drafting and revising their particular project items. Meetings are reserved for brainstorming, establishing project schedule and parameters, project kick off, interim status reviews, and other program type activities.

This request appears a transparent attempt to placate with a meeting for which PRT has no intention of doing anything. It is too bad PRT's handling of my business and my money isn't as transparent.

If my perception is inaccurate, my apologies and I await a response on the questions I posed; an agenda for the meeting; a proposed specific target outcome of the meeting; and an explanation as to why we need to do the work in person.

I hope PRT has reviewed my website. I finalized the review I sent and posted it. Please be advised PRT's response is a data point in my pending review of the Governor's performance in her stated intent to improve government transparency. Unfortunately, PRT's handling of this issue is prima facie, from citizen point of view, why her Commission won't do anything to change agency operations to openness. You can review more on my website page on the root of SC Corruption.

Again, if my perception is inaccurate, my apologies.